

A PRACTICE OF TPC-DS MULTIDIMENSIONAL IMPLEMENTATION ON NOSQL DATABASE SYSTEMS

HONGWEI ZHAO AND XIAOJUN YE

HWZHAO73@GMAIL.COM, YEXJ@TSINGHUA.EDU.CN SCHOOL OF SOFTWARE, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY BEIJING 100084,CHINA



OUTLINE

> Motivation

- Methodology for MOLAP
- Description for MOALP engine
- Experimenting
- Conclusion

MOTIVATION

Practice MOLAP cube operations on NoSQL Databases:

OLAP operation implementation techniques



WHY MOLAP

- MOLAP is online analytical processing that indexes directly into a multidimensional database
 - User can be able to view different aspects or facets of data aggregates stored in a multidimensional array
- The limitations in MOLAP are that it is not very scalable and can only handle limited amounts of data since calculations are predefined (storage and cache) in the cube
 - Not all dimensions are used in a query
 - Not all queries are used with the same frequency
 -
- OLAP engine practice on NoSQL systems for low-latency?
 - Space & Efficiency
 - Better scalability

WHEN AGGREGATING

Aggregate at runtime

Advantage

- Most flexible
- Fast scatter gather
- Space efficient

Disadvantage

- I/O, CPU intensive
- Slow for larger data
- Low throughput

Pre-aggregate

Advantage

- Fast
- Efficient O(1)
- High throughput

Disadvantage

- More effort to process (latency)
- Combinatorial explosion (space)
- No flexibility

Cosmin Lehene Low Latency "OLAP" with HBase - HBaseCon 2012

BALANCE FOR AGGREGATION

Our solution:

- Pre-aggregate base cuboid based on data model, Aggregate other cuboids at runtime according to user queries
 - Space efficient
 - Efficient O(1) after first query: high throughput
 - More flexible for user queries
- Latency balanced in basic cuboid building and user querying

OUTLINE

- Motivation
- Methodology for MOLAP
- Description for MOALP engine
- Experimenting
- Conclusion

ETL FOR CUBE BUILDING





EXAMPLE: TPC-DS QUERY 7

```
select i_item_id, avg(ss_quantity) agg1, avg(ss_list_price) agg2,
avg(ss_coupon_amt) agg3, avg(ss_sales_price) agg4
from store_sales, customer_demographics, date_dim, item, promotion
where ss_sold_date_sk = d_date_sk and
    ss_item_sk = i_item_sk and
    ss_cdemo_sk = cd_demo_sk and
    ss_promo_sk = p_promo_sk and
    cd_gender = '[GEN]' and
    cd_marital_status = '[MS]' and
    cd_education_status = '[ES]' and
    (p_channel_email = 'N' \text{ or } p_channel_event = 'N') and
    d_year = [YEAR]
group by i_item_id
order by i_item_id
```

MDX FOR QUERY 7

select { i_item_id } on rows, { avg(ss_quantity), avg(ss_list_price), avg(ss_coupon_amt), avg(ss_sales_price) } on columns from store sales cube where (cd_gender .[Male], cd_marital_status .[Single], cd_education_status .[College], d_year.[2000])

STAR SCHEMA FOR QUERY 7, 42, 52, 55



| | date_sk | year | moy | dom | | cdemo_ | _sk | gender | marital | education |
|---|----------|------------|--------|----------|------|-------------|------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 3428 | 2001 | 12 | 21 | | 172 | | М | single | 4-years |
| | 3617 | 2003 | 8 | 15 | | 280 | | F | married | master |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | date_s | sk (| cd | emo_sk | | price | | |
| | voar kov | Vear | 3617 | | 28(| C | | 46.03 | gen_key | gen |
| ł | year_key | year | 3428 | | 172 | 2 | | 99.54 | 01 | Μ |
| | 001 | 2001 | | | | | | | 10 | F |
| | 011 | 2003 | ··· | ot toblo | : | otor ochomo | •• | | | • |
| | | | а) га | ct table | IN | | 1 | | mar kov | mar |
| | mon_key | mon | | | 1 | | | | IIIdI_key | IIIai |
| Ī | 1000 | 8 | bitmar | n kev | | | avo | (price) | 001 | single |
| | 1100 | 10 | | 001111 | 10 | 01 300 | 46.0 | | 011 | married |
| | 1100 | IZ | | | 10 | | 46.0 |]3 | | |
| | day koy | dav | 001110 | 010101 | . 01 | .001010 | 99.5 | 54 | edu_key | edu |
| | | uay A F | | | | | | | 010 | 4-years |
| | 40404 | 15 | | | o fr | | | foot toblo | 100 | master |
| | 10101 | 21 | | ola cel | ST | om decomp | osed | lact table | | |

CUBOID KEY CONSTRUCTION

CUBE DATA STORAGE

| Table | | One table for dimension instances storage: | | |
|--------------|----------------|--|----------------|--|
| | | Row Key | Dimension Name | |
| Region | | Column Family | Default | |
| ColumnFamily | | Column | Member BitKey | |
| Row | | Value | Member Value | |
| | Cuboid Cell | Multiple tables for cuboids instances | | |
| Column | | Table Name | Cuboid Name | |
| Version | | Row Key | Cell BitKey | |
| Value | | Column Family | Default | |
| | | Column | Measure Name | |
| | | Value | Measure Value | |

CUBE DATA STORAGE FOR EXAMPLE

Table: Dimension

| Row Key | Column Family: default | | | | | |
|-----------|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|
| Dimension | Mask | 000001 | 001000 | 001001 | | |
| A | 001001 | A1 | A2 | Аз | | |
| Dimension | Mask | 000010 | 100000 | | | |
| В | 100010 | B1 | B2 | | | |

Table: Cuboid_ABC

| Row Key | Column Family: default | | | | |
|---------|------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| 000111 | Mea_count | Mea_sum | | | |
| | 1 | M 1 | | | |
| 011010 | Mea_count | Mea_sum | | | |
| | 1 | M2 | | | |

OUTLINE

- Motivation
- Methodology for MOLAP

Description for MOALP engine

- Experimenting
- Conclusion

ARCHITECTURE OF PROTOTYPE



ARCHITECTURE OF PROTOTYPE

- Dispatcher Node
- Worker Nodes

- Distribute dynamically cubes data onto worker nodes
- Parallelize OLAP
 operations into a
 concurrent model



Cluster Framework

IMPLEMENTATION STEPS

Base cuboid building with 4 stages:

- Dimension constructing
- Hive query
- Aggregation
- Saving

> OLAP Query execution with 4 stages:

- Loading dimension
- Other cuboid constructing
- Mapping
- Reducing

ACTORS OF AKKA FRAMEWORK

State Behavior Mailbox

Lifecycle

Fault tolerance



ACTORS FOR OLAP QUERIES

- Load dimension members
- Build other cuboids
- Mapping
- Reducing



DATA FLOW FOR OTHER CUBOID



COMPILING & MAPPING

Query 7 Condition: GEN=M and MS=S and ES=College and YEAR=2000



OLAP QUERY EXECUTION

- Master sends task messages to workers
- Each worker caches local region data
- Queries reuse the cache data sequentially



Matei Zaharia: Overview of Spark

OUTLINE

- Motivation
- Methodology for MOLAP
- Description for MOALP engine
- > Experimenting
- Conclusion

EXPERIMENTS ON TPC-DS

4 Queries:

- Query 7
- Query 42
- Query 52
- Query 55

Dimensions:

- 1. "i_item_id",
- 2. "i_category"
- 3. "i_manager_id"
- 4. "i_brand",
- 5. "cd_gender",
- 6. "cd_marital_status",
- 7. "cd_education_status",
- 8. "p_channel_email",
- 9. "p_channel_event",
- 10. "d_year"
- 11. "d_moy"

Measures:

ss_quantity, ss_list_price,

ss_coupon_amt, ss_sales_price,

ss_ext_sales_price

3 nodes:

- 2*Intel Xeon CPU E5-2630
- 4*600G 15000r/s SAS Raid 1+0
- 256G RAM
- 10Gb Network

| | | 1G | 10G | 100G |
|-------------------|------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| records number | | 2,653,108 | 26,532,571 | 265,325,821 |
| cube number | cell | 2,543,842 | 24,639,263 | 189,298,704 |
| Storage HBase | In | 4*64M | 64*64M | 256*64M |

BUILD CUBE FOR QUERIES

- Partition by the largest dimension(i_item_id)
- In-memory aggregation
- Saving stage can be ignore(cache)
- Logarithmic scale



EXECUTE QUERY 7, 42, 52, 55

- Stages for first query executing
- Dimension loading
- Caching
- Mapping
- Reducing

Caching Base Cuboid



- Stages for later queries executing:
- Mapping
- Reducing



CONCURRENT QUERIES EXECUTING

Sequence VS concurrency

Results on 1G data



Results on 10G data



COMPARE WITH ROLAP

execution time in out-of-the-box setting: 14-56X

| | 1G | 10G | 100G |
|----------|-----|-----|------|
| query 7 | 14X | 24X | 19X |
| query 42 | 53X | 49X | 48X |
| query 52 | 53X | 56X | 50X |
| query 55 | 40X | 56X | 39X |

CONCLUSIONS

A MOLAP prototype on NoSQL databases:

- Basic OLAP operation implementation
- Some queries experiments and analysis
- > Other experiments on TPC-DS queries

• Report, ad hoc, iterative, data mining, More work on multidimensional benchmarking

- Choice of cube model :
 - Demand-driven & data-driven
- Generation for cube data:
 - Model-driven & requirement-driven

