

TPC Benchmark™ E
Full Disclosure Report
for
IBM® System x® 3650 M4
using
Microsoft® SQL Server 2012
Enterprise Edition
and
Microsoft Windows® Server 2008 R2
Enterprise Edition SP1

TPC-E Version 1.12.0



First Edition
Submitted for Review
March 6, 2012

First Edition – March 2012

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS DISTRIBUTED ON AN AS IS BASIS WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. The use of this information or the implementation of any of these techniques is the customer's responsibility and depends on the customer's ability to evaluate and integrate them into the customer's operational environment. While each item has been reviewed by IBM for accuracy in a specific situation, there is no guarantee that the same or similar results will be obtained elsewhere. Customers attempting to adapt these techniques to their own environment do so at their own risk.

In this document, any references made to an IBM licensed program are not intended to state or imply that only IBM's licensed program may be used; any functionally equivalent program may be used.

This publication was produced in the United States. IBM may not offer the products, services, or features discussed in this document in other countries, and the information is subject to change without notice. Consult your local IBM representative for information on products and services available in your area.

© Copyright International Business Machines Corporation 2012. All rights reserved.

Permission is hereby granted to reproduce this document in whole or in part, provided the copyright notice as printed above is set forth in full text on the title page of each item reproduced.

U.S. Government Users - Documentation related to restricted rights: Use, duplication, or disclosure is subject to restrictions set forth in GSA ADP Schedule Contract with IBM Corp.

Trademarks

IBM, the IBM logo, System x, and System Storage are trademarks or registered trademarks of International Business Machines Corporation.

The following terms used in this publication are trademarks of other companies as follows: TPC Benchmark, TPC-E, tpsE, and \$/tpsE trademark of Transaction Processing Performance Council; Intel and Xeon are trademarks or registered trademarks of Intel Corporation; Microsoft and Windows are trademarks or registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation. Other company, product, or service names, which may be denoted by two asterisks (**), may be trademarks or service marks of others.

Notes

¹ GHz and MHz only measures microprocessor internal clock speed, not application performance. Many factors affect application performance.

² When referring to hard disk capacity, GB, or gigabyte, means one thousand million bytes. Total user-accessible capacity may be less.

Abstract

IBM Corporation conducted the TPC Benchmark™ E on the IBM® System x®3650 M4 configured as a client/server system. This report documents the full disclosure information required by the TPC Benchmark E Standard Specification, Revision 1.12.0, including the methodology used to achieve the reported results. All testing fully complied with this revision level.



The software used on the IBM System x3650 M4 system included Microsoft® Windows® Server 2008 R2 Enterprise Edition and Microsoft SQL Server 2012 Enterprise Edition.

Standard metrics, transactions per second-E (tpsE), price per tpsE (\$/tpsE) and Availability Date, are reported as required by the TPC Benchmark E Standard Specification.

The benchmark results are summarized in the following table:

Hardware	Software	Total System Cost	tpsE	\$ USD /tpsE	Total Solution Availability Date
IBM System x3650 M4	Microsoft SQL Server 2012 Enterprise Edition Microsoft Windows Server 2008 R2 Enterprise Edition SP1	\$387,271 USD	1863.23	\$207.85 USD	May 31, 2012

The benchmark implementation and results were audited by Doug Johnson for InfoSizing (www.sizing.com). The auditor's attestation letter is contained in this report.

	IBM® System x® 3650 M4 Microsoft® SQL Server 2012		TPC-E 1.12.0 TPC Pricing 1.7.0
			Report Date: March 6, 2012
			Revision Date: March 6, 2012
TPC-E Throughput 1863.23 tpsE	Price/Performance \$207.85 USD per tpsE	Availability Date May 31, 2012	Total System Cost \$387,271 USD
Database Server Configuration			
Operating System Microsoft Windows Server 2008 R2 Enterprise Edition SP1	Database Manager Microsoft SQL Server 2012 Enterprise Edition	Processors/Cores/ Threads 2/16/32	Memory 512GB
			
1 x IBM x3650 M3, with: - 2 x Intel Xeon Processor X5650 2.66GHz (2 Procs/12 Cores/24 Threads) - 8GB Memory - 2 x 250GB SFF SATA (RAID-1) - 1 x IBM ServeRAID M1015 - Onboard Quad Gb Ethernet	IBM System x3650 M4, with: - 2 x Intel Xeon Processor E5-2690 2.90GHz (2 Procs/16 Cores/32 Threads) - 512GB Memory - 8 x 300GB 10K SAS (RAID-10) - 1 x IBM ServeRAID M5110e - 4 x IBM ServeRAID M5120 - Onboard Quad Gb Ethernet	4 x IBM EXP2524 JBOD Enclosures, with: - 84 x 200GB 2.5" SAS SSD (4 x 21-drive RAID-5 DB data)	84 Total External Drives
Initial Database Size 7,782 GB	Redundancy Level: 1 RAID-10 Log RAID-5 Data	Storage 8 x 300GB 2.5" 10K SAS 84 x 200GB 2.5" SAS SSD	



IBM System x3650 M4 Microsoft SQL Server 2012

TPC-E 1.12.0
TPC Pricing 1.7.0

Report Date:
March 6, 2012

Revision Date:
March 6, 2012

Availability Date:
May 31, 2012

Description	Part Number	Price Source	Unit Price	Quantity	Extended Price	3-Yr. Maint. Price
Server Hardware						
IBM System x3650 M4 Configure-To-Order, includes:	7915AC1	1	8,632	1	8,632	
x3650 M4 Base	A1KF			1		
x3650 M4 System Planar	A1KH			1		
900W High Efficiency Platinum AC Power Supply	A2EB			2		
Intel Xeon Processor E5-2690 8C 2.9GHz 20MB 135W	A2QL			2		
IBM UltraSlim Enhanced SATA DVD-ROM	4161			1		
x3650 M4 PCIe Riser Card 2 (1 x8 FH/FL + 2 x8 FH/HL Slots)	A1JU			1		
32GB PC3L-10600 DDR3 1333MHz LP LRDIMM	90Y3105	1	4,599	16	73,584	
ServeRAID M5100 Series 512MB Cache/RAID 5 Upgrade	81Y4484	1	199	1	199	
IBM 300GB 10K 6Gbps SAS 2.5" SFF G2HS HDD	90Y8877	1	339	8	2,712	
IBM ServeRAID M5120 SAS/SATA Controller	81Y4478	1	299	4	1,196	
ServeRAID M5100 Series 1GB Flash/RAID 5 Upgrade	81Y4559	1	529	4	2,116	
ServeRAID M5100 Series SSD Performance Key	90Y4273	1	399	1	399	
IBM Preferred Pro USB Keyboard	40K9584	1	29	1	29	
IBM 2-Button Optical Mouse - Black - USB	40K9200	1	19	1	19	
ServicePac for 3-Year 24x7x4 Support (x3650 M4)	21P2078	1	660	1		660
ASUS 18.5" Widescreen LCD Monitor (2 spares)	VH197D	3	120	3	360	
				Subtotal	89,246	660
Server Storage						
IBM S2 42U Standard Rack	93074RX	1	1,459	1	1,459	
IBM System Storage EXP2524 Storage Enclosure	174724X	1	3,999	4	15,996	
IBM 1M SAS cable	39R6529	1	119	4	476	
200GB 2.5" SAS SSD	81Y9956	1	4,299	84	361,116	
ServicePac for 3-Year 24x7x4 Support (EXP2524)	91Y5785 (67567JT)	1	1,200	4		4,800
ServicePac for 3-Year 24x7x4 Support (Rack)	41L2760	1	300	1		300
				Subtotal	379,047	5,100
Server Software						
SQL Server 2012 Enterprise Edition		2a *	13,473	8	107,780	
Windows Server 2008 R2 Enterprise Edition	P72-04217	2	3,999	1	3,999	
Microsoft Problem Resolution Services	N/A	2a	259	1		259
				Subtotal	111,779	259
Client Hardware						
IBM System x3650 M3 Configure-To-Order, includes:	7945AC1	1	6,160	1	6,160	
System Common Planar for 1U/2U	5663			1		
IBM System x3650 M3 Base with 675W AC power supply	5694			1		
Intel Xeon Processor X5650 6C 2.66GHz 12MB 1333MHz 95w	4589, 7709			2		
IBM System x3650 M3 8 HDD Kit	1745			1		
2GB PC3-10600 CL9 ECC DDR3 1333MHz LP RDIMM	8934			4		
PCI-Express (2 x8 slots) Riser Card	3734, 5086			2		
ServeRAID M1015 SAS/SATA Controller	0095			1		
IBM UltraSlim Enhanced SATA DVD-ROM	4161			1		
Dual port 1Gb Ethernet daughter card	3585			1		
IBM 250GB 7200 NL SATA 2.5" SFF HS HDD	A1NX			2		
ServicePac for 3-Year 24x7x4 Support (x3650 M3)	21P2078	1	660	1		660
				Subtotal	6,160	660
Client Software						
Microsoft Windows Server 2008 R2 Standard Edition	P73-04980	2	1,029	1	1,029	
				Subtotal	1,029	0
Infrastructure						
Ethernet Cables (2 spares)	RCW-717	3		3	12	
				Subtotal	12	0
				Total	587,273	6,679
Dollar Volume Discount (See Note 1)	42.60%	1			204,675	
Microsoft Open Program Discount Schedule	37.94%	2			2,006	
Pricing: 1 - IBM - 1-800-656-0833, x35330; 2 - Microsoft; 3 - newegg.com				Three-Year Cost of Ownership USD:		\$387,271
Note 1: Discount applies to all line items where Pricing=1; pricing is for these or similar quantities.				TPC-E Throughput:		1,863.23
Discounts for similarly sized configurations will be similar to what is quoted here, but may vary based on the specific components priced.				\$ USD/tpsE:		\$207.85
* These components are not immediately orderable. See the FDR for more information.						
Benchmark results and test methodology audited by Doug Johnson for InfoSizing, Inc. (www.sizing.com)						
Prices used in TPC benchmarks reflect the actual prices a customer would pay for a one-time purchase of the stated components. Individually negotiated discounts are not permitted. Special prices based on assumptions about past or future purchases are not permitted. All discounts reflect standard pricing policies for the listed components. For complete details, see the pricing section of the TPC benchmark specifications. If you find that stated prices are not available according to these terms, please inform the TPC at pricing@tpc.org. Thank you.						



**IBM System x3650 M4
Microsoft SQL Server 2012**

**TPC-E 1.12.0
TPC Pricing 1.7.0**

Report Date:
March 6, 2012

Revision Date:
March 6, 2012

Availability Date:
May 31, 2012

Numerical Quantities Summary				
Reported Throughput: 1863.23 tpsE		Configured Customers: 950,000		
Response Time (in seconds)	Minimum	Average	90 th Percentile	Maximum
Broker-Volume	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.93
Customer-Position	0.01	0.01	0.02	1.12
Market-Feed	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.70
Market-Watch	0.01	0.01	0.02	1.08
Security-Detail	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.91
Trade-Lookup	0.01	0.07	0.11	0.91
Trade-Order	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.68
Trade-Result	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.97
Trade-Status	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.90
Trade-Update	0.01	0.08	0.12	0.68
Data-Maintenance	0.01	0.02	N/A	0.06
Transaction Mix		Transaction Count	Mix %	
Broker-Volume		6,573,027	4.900%	
Customer-Position		17,439,087	13.000%	
Market-Feed		1,341,528	1.000%	
Market-Watch		24,146,410	18.000%	
Security-Detail		18,780,364	14.000%	
Trade-Lookup		10,731,659	8.000%	
Trade-Order		13,548,634	10.100%	
Trade-Result		13,415,264	10.000%	
Trade-Status		25,487,640	19.000%	
Trade-Update		2,682,906	2.000%	
Data-Maintenance		120	N/A	
Test Duration and Timings				
Ramp-up Time (hh:mm:ss)				00:19:15
Measurement Interval (hh:mm:ss)				02:00:00
Business Recovery Time (hh:mm:ss)				00:09:42
Total Number of Transactions Completed in Measurement Interval				134,146,519

Table of Contents

Abstract	3
Table of Contents	7
Clause 0 – Preamble	9
Clause 1 – Introduction	11
Benchmark Sponsor.....	11
Configuration Diagrams	11
Measured and Priced Configurations.....	11
Figure 1-1. Measured Configuration.....	11
Hardware and Software Configuration Steps	12
Clause 2- Database Design, Scaling, and Population	13
Database Creation and Table Definitions	13
Database Physical Organization	13
Horizontal/Vertical Partitioning	14
Replication.....	14
Table Attributes.....	14
Cardinality of Tables	14
Table 2-1. Initial Cardinality of Tables.....	15
Distribution of Tables and Logs	16
Table 2-2. Data Distribution for the Measured and Priced Configuration	16
Database Interface and Model Implemented	18
Database Load Methodology	18
Clause 3 – Transaction Related Items	19
Vendor-Supplied Code	19
Database Footprint of Transactions	19
Clause 4 – SUT, Driver, and Network	20
Network Configuration.....	20
Clause 5 – EGen	21
EGen Version	21
EGen Code and Modifications.....	21
EGen Files	21
Clause 6 – Performance Metrics and Response Time	22
EGen Instances	22
Measured Throughput.....	22
Throughput vs. Elapsed Time for Trade-Result Transaction.....	22
Figure 6-1. Test Run Graph	22
Steady State Methodology.....	23
Work Performed During Steady State	23
Transaction Statistics.....	23
Table 6-1. Transaction Statistics	24
Clause 7 – Transaction and System Properties	25
Atomicity Requirements.....	25
Consistency Requirements.....	25
Isolation Requirements	26
Durability Requirements.....	26
Durability Test for Data Accessibility	26
Figure 7-1. Data Accessibility Graph.....	27
Durability Test for Business Recovery	27
Figure 7-2. Business Recovery Time Graph	29

Clause 8 – Pricing	30
60-Day Space.....	30
Table 8-1. Disk Space Requirements	30
Availability Date.....	31
Table 8-2. Ordering and Pricing Information.....	31
Supporting Files Index.....	31
Auditor’s Attestation Letter.....	31
Appendix A – Price Quotes	34

Clause 0 – Preamble

Introduction

TPC Benchmark™ E (TPC-E) is an On-Line Transaction Processing (OLTP) workload. It is a mixture of read-only and update intensive transactions that simulate the activities found in complex OLTP application environments. The database schema, data population, transactions, and implementation rules have been designed to be broadly representative of modern OLTP systems. The benchmark exercises a breadth of system components associated with such environments, which are characterized by:

- The simultaneous execution of multiple transaction types that span a breadth of complexity
- Moderate system and application execution time
- A balanced mixture of disk input/output and processor usage
- Transaction integrity (ACID properties)
- A mixture of uniform and non-uniform data access through primary and secondary keys
- Databases consisting of many tables with a wide variety of sizes, attributes, and relationships with realistic content
- Contention on data access and update

The TPC-E operations are modeled as follows: The database is continuously available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, for data processing from multiple sessions and data modifications against all tables, except possibly during infrequent (e.g., once a month) maintenance sessions. Due to the worldwide nature of the application modeled by the TPC-E benchmark, any of the transactions may be executed against the database at anytime, especially in relation to each other.

Goal of the TPC-E Benchmark

The TPC-E benchmark simulates the OLTP workload of a brokerage firm. The focus of the benchmark is the central database that executes transactions related to the firm's customer accounts. In keeping with the goal of measuring the performance characteristics of the database system, the benchmark does not attempt to measure the complex flow of data between multiple application systems that would exist in a real environment.

The mixture and variety of transactions being executed on the benchmark system is designed to capture the characteristic components of a complex system. Different transaction types are defined to simulate the interactions of the firm with its customers as well as its business partners. Different transaction types have varying run-time requirements.

The benchmark defines:

- Two types of transactions to simulate Consumer-to-Business as well as Business-to-Business activities
- Several transactions for each transaction type
- Different execution profiles for each transaction type
- A specific run-time mix for all defined transactions

For example, the database will simultaneously execute transactions generated by systems that interact with customers along with transactions that are generated by systems that interact with financial markets as well as administrative systems. The benchmark system will interact with a set of driver systems that simulate the various sources of transactions without requiring the benchmark to implement the complex environment.

The performance metric reported by TPC-E is a "business throughput" measure of the number of completed Trade-Result transactions processed per second. Multiple transactions are used to simulate the business activity of processing a trade, and each transaction is subject to a response time constraint. The performance metric for the benchmark is expressed in transactions-per-second-E (tpsE). To be compliant with the TPC-E standard, all references to tpsE results must include the tpsE rate, the associated price-per-tpsE, and the availability date of the priced configuration.

TPC-E uses terminology and metrics that are similar to other benchmarks, originated by the TPC and others. Such similarity in terminology does not imply that TPC-E results are comparable to other benchmarks. The only benchmark results comparable to TPC-E are other TPC-E results that conform to a comparable version of the TPC-E specification.

Restrictions and Limitations

Despite the fact that this benchmark offers a rich environment that represents many OLTP applications, this benchmark does not reflect the entire range of OLTP requirements. In addition, the extent to which a customer can achieve the results reported by a vendor is highly dependent on how closely TPC-E approximates the customer application. The relative performance of systems derived from this benchmark does not necessarily hold for other workloads or environments. Extrapolations to any other environment are not recommended.

Benchmark results are highly dependent upon workload, specific application requirements, and systems design and implementation. Relative system performance will vary because of these and other factors. Therefore, TPC-E should not be used as a substitute for specific customer application benchmarking when critical capacity planning and/or product evaluation decisions are contemplated.

Clause 1 – Introduction

Benchmark Sponsor

A statement identifying the benchmark Sponsor(s) and other participating companies must be reported.

This benchmark was sponsored by IBM Corporation.

Configuration Diagrams


Diagrams of both the Measured and Priced Configurations must be reported, accompanied by a description of the differences.

Any information and/or measurement results used to prove the validity of a Component substitution must be included in the FDR. Original and substituted Components must be clearly identified. Proof of comparable performance for substitution without a full benchmark run must be cited in the FDR.

Measured and Priced Configurations

The measured configuration is shown in Figure 1-1. The priced configuration is shown above in the executive summary.

Figure 1-1. Measured Configuration



- 1 x IBM x3650 M3, with:
- 2 x Intel Xeon Processor X5650 2.66GHz (2 Procs/12 Cores/24 Threads)
- 8GB Memory
- 2 x 250GB SFF SATA (RAID-1)
- 1 x IBM ServeRAID M1015
- Onboard Quad Gb Ethernet

- IBM System x3650 M4, with:
- 2 x Intel Xeon Processor E5-2690 2.90GHz (2 Procs/16 Cores/32 Threads)
- 512GB Memory
- 8 x 300GB 10K SAS (RAID-10)
- 1 x IBM ServeRAID M5110e
- 4 x IBM ServeRAID M5120
- Onboard Quad Gb Ethernet

- 8 x IBM EXP2524 JBOD Enclosures, with:
- 84 x 200GB 2.5" SAS SSD (4 x 21-drive RAID-5 DB data)
- 96 x 600GB 2.5" SAS HDD (4 x 24-drive RAID-10 backup and temp space)
- 180 Total External Drives

Compared to the priced configuration, the measured configuration contained extra external enclosures and drives used strictly for database backup files and temporary space used during the benchmark database load process. These extra enclosures and drives were not used at all during the benchmark runs.

Hardware and Software Configuration Steps

A description of the steps taken to configure all the hardware must be reported in the Report.

A description of the steps taken to configure all the software must be reported in the Report.

Any and all configuration scripts or step by step GUI instructions are reported in the Supporting Files (see Clauses 9.4.1.1 and 9.4.1.2). The description, scripts and GUI instructions must be sufficient such that a reader knowledgeable of computer systems and the TPC-E specification could recreate the hardware and software environments.

Detailed instructions for installing and configuring the SUT hardware and software are included in the supporting files:

- Information specific to the Tier A client can be found in:
SupportingFiles\Introduction\TierA\TierA_x3650M3_Setup.pdf
- Information specific to the Tier B database server and storage can be found in:
SupportingFiles\Introduction\TierB\TierB_x3650M4_Setup.pdf

Clause 2- Database Design, Scaling, and Population

Database Creation and Table Definitions

A description of the steps taken to create the database for the Reported Throughput must be reported in the Report. Any and all scripts or step by step GUI instructions are reported in the Supporting Files (see Clause 9.4.2). The description, scripts and GUI instructions must be sufficient such that a reader knowledgeable of database software environments and the TPC-E specification could recreate the database.

The database was created and populated using the Microsoft TPC-E benchmark kit. Instructions for doing so are included in the supporting files. See SupportingFiles\Clause2\MSTPCE Database Setup Reference.pdf.

Changes and customizations were made to some of the kit files. First, the filegroups the database was loaded onto were changed in number from three filegroups to two. Second, several scripts were modified to customize the load to the specific hardware configuration of this SUT.

The default kit files create the database on three filegroups: fixed_fg, scaling_fg, and growing_fg. That was changed so that only two filegroups were used, fixed_fg and growing_fg. All of the items that would have been loaded onto scaling_fg were loaded instead onto fixed_fg.

The modified files are included as part of SupportingFiles\Clause2:

- Utility\Create_TID_Ranges_Table.sql
- DDL\ Create_Indexes_Scaling_Tables.sql
- DDL\ Create_Tables_Scaling.sql

The files that were customized for this specific SUT hardware are included in the folder SupportingFiles\Clause2\950000.Cust\Database:

- Tempdb.sql creates a larger temporary database for SQL Server
- Shrinktempdb.sql shrinks it back down
- Backupdev.sql creates devices for SQL Server to backup the database to
- Dropbackupdev.sql removes those devices
- Backup_Database.sql backs up the tpce database to the specified device names
- Restore_Database.sql restores the tpce database from the specified device names
- Create_Database.sql maps the database filegroups and log to physical storage
- Flatfile.txt tells the database loader where to store the database flatfiles during the load
- Remove_Database.sql drops the current tpce database

Database Physical Organization

The physical organization of tables and User-Defined Objects, within the database, must be reported in the Report.

The following tables and related indexes were on the growing_fg filegroup:

- CASH_TRANSACTION
- SETTLEMENT
- TRADE
- TRADE_HISTORY
- TRADE_REQUEST
- HOLDING
- HOLDING_HISTORY
- HOLDING_SUMMARY

The remaining tables and their related indexes were all on the fixed_fg filegroup.

Horizontal/Vertical Partitioning

While few restrictions are placed upon horizontal or vertical partitioning of tables and rows in the TPC-E benchmark (see Clause 2.3.3), any such partitioning must be reported.

Partitioning was not used for this benchmark.

Replication

Replication of tables, if used, must be reported in the Report.

Replication was not used for this benchmark.

Table Attributes

Additional and/or duplicated columns in any table must be reported in the Report along with a statement on the impact on performance (see Clause 2.3.5).

No additional attributes were used for this benchmark.

Cardinality of Tables

The cardinality (e.g., the number of rows) of each table, as it existed after the database load (see Clause 2.6), must be reported in the Report.

The database was built with 950,000 customers. The cardinality is shown in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1. Initial Cardinality of Tables

Table Name	Rows
ACCOUNT_PERMISSION	6,744,962
ADDRESS	1,425,004
BROKER	9,500
CASH_TRANSACTION	15,102,701,145
CHARGE	15
COMMISSION_RATE	240
COMPANY	475,000
COMPANY_COMPETITOR	1,425,000
CUSTOMER	950,000
CUSTOMER_ACCOUNT	4,750,000
CUSTOMER_TAXRATE	1,900,000
DAILY_MARKET	849,228,750
EXCHANGE	4
FINANCIAL	9,500,000
HOLDING	840,449,832
HOLDING_HISTORY	22,000,250,855
HOLDING_SUMMARY	47,248,396
INDUSTRY	102
LAST_TRADE	650,750
NEWS_ITEM	950,000
NEWS_XREF	950,000
SECTOR	12
SECURITY	650,750
SETTLEMENT	16,416,000,000
STATUS_TYPE	5
TAXRATE	320
TRADE	16,416,000,000
TRADE_HISTORY	39,398,313,202
TRADE_REQUEST	0
TRADE_TYPE	5
WATCH_ITEM	95,001,161
WATCH_LIST	950,000
ZIP_CODE	14,741

Distribution of Tables and Logs

The distribution of tables, partitions and logs across all media must be explicitly depicted for the Measured and Priced Configurations.

There were eight 300GB 2.5” SFF 10K SAS drives in the database server accessed by the internal ServeRAID M5110e SAS/SATA controller. A RAID-10 array was created spanning these eight drives. Partitions were created on this array for the OS and the database log.

The database data was stored on external SAS SSD storage. This storage was accessed by four IBM ServeRAID M5120 SAS/SATA controllers. Each of these controllers was connected to one external IBM System Storage® EXP2524 enclosure, each holding twenty-one 200GB SAS SSDs. In total, for database data, four enclosures and 84 external SSDs were connected to the database server. Four data arrays were each configured as 21-drive RAID-5. Each data array was broken into two partitions: one for fixed_fg (RAW) and one for growing_fg (RAW).

No extra storage space was needed to meet the 60-Day space requirement.

In addition to the priced configuration described above, the measured configuration included four additional external EXP2524 enclosures, each filled with twenty-four 600GB SAS HDDs. This space was used to generate and load the TPC-E benchmark database, and during database backup and restore operations. This hardware performed no function during benchmark runs. These additional four enclosures were attached directly to the ServeRAID M5120 SAS/SATA controllers. Four 24-drive RAID-10 arrays were created using this hardware and formatted as NTFS.

Adapter write caching was disabled for all controllers and arrays.

Further details on the storage configuration are available in the supporting files. See the files in the directory SupportingFiles\Introduction\TierB.

Table 2-2 depicts the database configuration of the measured and priced systems to meet the 8-hour steady state requirement.

Table 2-2. Data Distribution for the Measured and Priced Configuration

Disk #	Controller	Drives Enclosure RAID level	Partition (File System)	Size	Use
0	Internal M5110e	8 x 300GB SAS HDD internal RAID-10	C: (NTFS) E: (RAW) F: (NTFS)	390.40GB 610.35GB 112.88GB	OS Log MDF
1	M5120 #1	21 x 200GB SAS SSD EXP2524 RAID-5	c:\mp\fx2 (RAW) c:\mp\gw2 (RAW)	51.17GB 3655.36GB	Fixed_fg growing_fg
2	M5120 #1	24 x 600GB SAS HDD EXP2524 RAID-10 (Measured)	c:\mp\bk2 (NTFS)	6694.21 GB	Backup, flatfiles, & tempDB
3	M5120 #2	21 x 200GB SAS SSD EXP2524 RAID-5	c:\mp\fx4 (RAW) c:\mp\gw4 (RAW)	51.17GB 3655.36GB	Fixed_fg growing_fg
4	M5120 #2	24 x 600GB SAS HDD EXP2524 RAID-10 (Measured)	c:\mp\bk4 (NTFS)	6694.21 GB	Backup, flatfiles, & tempDB
5	M5120 #3	24 x 600GB SAS HDD EXP2524 RAID-10 (Measured)	c:\mp\bk1 (NTFS)	6694.21 GB	Backup, flatfiles, & tempDB

Disk #	Controller	Drives Enclosure RAID level	Partition (File System)	Size	Use
6	M5120 #3	21 x 200GB SAS SSD EXP2524 RAID-5	c:\mp\fx1 (RAW) c:\mp\gw1 (RAW) S: (NTFS)	51.17GB 2441.41GB 1213.95GB	Fixed_fg growing_fg temp space
7	M5120 #4	21 x 200GB SAS SSD EXP2524 RAID-5	c:\mp\fx3 (RAW) c:\mp\gw3 (RAW)	51.17GB 3655.36GB	Fixed_fg growing_fg
8	M5120 #4	24 x 600GB SAS HDD EXP2524 RAID-10 (Measured)	c:\mp\bk3 (NTFS)	6694.21 GB	Backup, flatfiles, & tempDB

Database Interface and Model Implemented

A statement must be provided in the Report that describes:

- *The Database Interface (e.g., embedded, call level) and access language (e.g., SQL, COBOL read/write) used to implement the TPC-E Transactions. If more than one interface / access language is used to implement TPC-E, each interface / access language must be described and a list of which interface /access language is used with which Transaction type must be reported.*
- *The data model implemented by the DBMS (e.g., relational, network, hierarchical).*

Microsoft SQL Server 2012 Enterprise Edition is a relational database. The interface used was Microsoft SQL Server stored procedures accessed with Remote Procedure Calls embedded in C++ code using the Microsoft ODBC interface.

Database Load Methodology

The methodology used to load the database must be reported in the Report.

The database was loaded using the flat files option on the EGenLoader command line. This will generate flat files first, then bulk insert the data into the tables. A further description is provided in SupportingFiles\Clause2\MSTPCE Database Setup Reference.pdf.

Clause 3 – Transaction Related Items

Vendor-Supplied Code

A statement that vendor-supplied code is functionally equivalent to Pseudo-code in the specification (see Clause 3.2.1.6) must be reported.

The stored procedure code for the transactions was functionally equivalent to the pseudo-code. The stored procedures can be seen in SupportingFiles\Clause3\StoredProcedures.

The code to interface the stored procedures can be found in:

- SupportingFiles\Clause3\BaseServer
- SupportingFiles\Clause3\TransactionsSP
- SupportingFiles\Clause3\TxnHarness

Database Footprint of Transactions

A statement that the database footprint requirements (as described in Clause 3.3) were met must be reported.

The database footprint requirements were met.

Clause 4 – SUT, Driver, and Network

Network Configuration

The Network configurations of both the Measured and Priced Configurations must be described and reported. This includes the mandatory Network between the Driver and Tier A (see Clause 4.2.2) and any optional Database Server interface networks (see Clause 4.1.3.12).

The network configurations of the measured and priced configurations were the same. Refer to Figure 1-1 for a diagram of the network connections.

The Tier A client and Tier B database server were connected by two Gb Ethernet crossover cables. These cables were connected to onboard Gb Ethernet ports in both servers. These crossover networks handled all of the network traffic between Tier A and Tier B while a measurement was underway.

Another network connected the driver, the database server, the client, and a time server. This network, which was connected via a gigabit Ethernet switch, used one of the onboard Ethernet ports on the client and database server. This network fulfills the mandatory network between the driver and Tier A. It also allows the driver, client, and database server to synchronize and verify their times with the time server.

Clause 5 – EGen

EGen Version

The version of EGen used in the benchmark must be reported (see Clause 5.3.1).

EGen v1.12.0 was used in the benchmark.

EGen Code and Modifications

A statement that all required TPC-provided EGen code was used in the benchmark must be reported. If the Test Sponsor modified EGen, a statement EGen has been modified must be reported in the Report. All formal waivers from the TPC documenting the allowed changes to EGen must also be reported (see Clause 5.3.7.1). If any of the changes to EGen do not have a formal waiver, that must also be reported. If the Test Sponsor extended EGenLoader, the use of the extended EGenLoader and the audit of the extension code by an Auditor must be reported (see Clause 5.7.4).

All required TPC-provided EGen code was used in the benchmark.

EGen was not modified for use in this benchmark.

EGenLoader was not extended for this benchmark.

EGen Files

The make/project files used to compile/link EGenLoader and EGenValidate must be reported in the Supporting Files. The compiler/linker options and flags used to compile/link EGen objects for the SUT must be reported in the Supporting Files.

See the supporting files directory SupportingFiles\Clause3\prj for the files related to EGenLoader and EGenValidate.

See the supporting files directory SupportingFiles\Clause3\SUT_CE_Server for the files related to the SUT_CE_Server.

See the supporting files directory SupportingFiles\Clause3\SUT_MEE_Server for the files related to the SUT_MEE_Server.

Clause 6 – Performance Metrics and Response Time

EGen Instances

The number of EGenDriverMEE and EGenDriverCE instances used in the benchmark must be reported (see Clause 6.2.5).

There were 16 EGenDriverCEs with a total of 304 EGenDriverCE instances used in the benchmark.

There were 16 EGenDriverMEEs with a dynamic number of instances used in the benchmark.

Measured Throughput


The Measured Throughput must be reported (see Clause 6.7.1.2).

The Measured Throughput was 1863.23 tpsE.

Throughput vs. Elapsed Time for Trade-Result Transaction

A Test Run Graph of throughput versus elapsed wall clock time must be reported for the Trade-Result Transaction (see Clause 6.7.2).

Figure 6-1. Test Run Graph



Steady State Methodology

The method used to determine that the SUT had reached a Steady State prior to commencing the Measurement Interval must be reported.

During the run, observation of the tpsE as the benchmark ran was used to determine Steady State. After the run, Steady State was confirmed by:

1. Looking at the Test Run Graph and verifying that tpsE was steady prior to commencing the Measurement Interval.
2. Calculating the average tpsE over 60-minute windows during Steady State, with the start of each window 10 minutes apart. Then it was confirmed that the minimum 60-minute average tpsE was not less than 98% of the Reported Throughput, and that the maximum 60-minute average tpsE was not greater than 102% of the Reported Throughput.
3. Calculating the average tpsE over 10-minute windows during Steady State, with the start of each window 1 minute apart. Then it was confirmed that the minimum 10-minute average tpsE was not less than 80% of the Reported Throughput, and the maximum 10-minute average tpsE was not greater than 120% of the Reported Throughput.

Work Performed During Steady State

A description of how the work normally performed during a Test Run, actually occurred during the Measurement Interval must be reported (e.g., checkpointing, writing Undo/Redo Log records).

Checkpoints had a duration of 430 seconds and were scheduled to run every 447 seconds.

Data-Maintenance was run every 60 seconds.

Transaction Statistics

The recorded averages over the Measurement Interval for each of the Transaction input parameters specified by clause 6.4.1 must be reported.

Table 6-1 contains the transaction statistics.

Table 6-1. Transaction Statistics

Input Parameter	Value	Actual Percentage	Required Range
Customer-Position			
By Tax ID	1	50.01%	48% to 52%
Get History	1	49.98%	48% to 52%
Market-Watch			
Securities Chosen By	Watch List	60.01%	57% to 63%
	Account ID	35.00%	33% to 37%
	Industry	4.99%	4.5% to 5.5%
Security-Detail			
Access LOB	1	1.00%	0.9% to 1.1%
Trade-Lookup			
Frame to Execute	1	29.97%	28.5% to 31.5%
	2	30.03%	28.5% to 31.5%
	3	29.99%	28.5% to 31.5%
	4	10.00%	9.5% to 10.5%
Trade-Order			
Transactions Requested by a Third Party		10.00%	9.5% to 10.5%
By Company Name		40.01%	38% to 42%
Buy On Margin	1	7.99%	7.5% to 8.5%
Rollback	1	0.99%	0.94% to 1.04%
LIFO	1	35.01%	33% to 37%
Trade Quantity	100	24.99%	24% to 26%
	200	24.99%	24% to 26%
	400	25.01%	24% to 26%
	800	25.01%	24% to 26%
Trade Type	Market Buy	29.99%	29.7% to 30.3%
	Market Sell	30.01%	29.7% to 30.3%
	Limit Buy	20.01%	19.8% to 20.2%
	Limit Sell	10.00%	9.9% to 10.1%
	Stop Loss	9.99%	9.9% to 10.1%
Trade-Update			
Frame to Execute	1	33.00%	31% to 35%
	2	33.01%	31% to 35%
	3	33.99%	32% to 36%

Clause 7 – Transaction and System Properties

The ACID (Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, and Durability) properties of transaction processing systems must be supported by the System Under Test during the running of this benchmark. It is the intent of this section to define the ACID properties informally and to specify a series of tests that must be performed to demonstrate that these properties are met.

The results of the ACID tests must be reported in the Report along with a description of how the ACID requirements were met, and how the ACID tests were run.

Atomicity Requirements

The System Under Test must guarantee that Database Transactions are atomic; the system will either perform all individual operations on the data, or will ensure that no partially completed operations leave any effects on the data..

All ACID tests were conducted according to specification. The following steps were performed to verify the Atomicity of the Trade-Order transactions:

- *Perform a market Trade-Order Transaction with the roll_it_back flag set to false. Verify that the appropriate rows have been inserted in the TRADE and TRADE_HISTORY tables.*
- *Perform a market Trade-Order Transaction with the roll_it_back flag set to true. Verify that no rows associated with the rolled back Trade-Order have been added to the TRADE and TRADE_HISTORY tables.*

The procedure for running the atomicity tests is documented in the file SupportingFiles\Clause7\MSTPCE ACID Procedures.pdf.

The atomicity scripts and outputs are located in the directory SupportingFiles\Clause7\Atomicity.

Consistency Requirements

Consistency is the property of the Application that requires any execution of a Database Transaction to take the database from one consistent state to another. A TPC-E database when first populated by EGenLoader must meet these consistency conditions. The three consistency conditions must be tested after initial database population and after any Business Recovery tests.

Consistency condition 1:

Entries in the BROKER and TRADE tables must satisfy the relationship:

$B_NUM_TRADES = count()$*

For each broker defined by:

$(B_ID = CA_B_ID)$ and $(CA_ID = T_CA_ID)$ and $(T_ST_ID = \text{---CMPT'}$).

Consistency condition 2:

Entries in the BROKER and TRADE tables must satisfy the relationship:

$B_COMM_TOTAL = sum(T_COMM)$

For each broker defined by:

$(B_ID = CA_B_ID)$ and $(CA_ID = T_CA_ID)$ and $(T_ST_ID = \text{---CMPT'}$).

Consistency condition 3:

Entries in the HOLDING_SUMMARY and HOLDING tables must satisfy the relationship:

$HS_QTY = sum(H_QTY)$

For each holding summary defined by:

$(HS_CA_ID = H_CA_ID)$ and $(HS_S_SYMB = H_S_SYMB)$.

Consistency conditions 1, 2, and 3 were tested using a batch file to issue queries to the database after the database was loaded and after the Business Recovery Test. The results of the queries demonstrated that the database was consistent for all three tests.

The procedure for running the consistency tests is documented in the file SupportingFiles\Clause7\MSTPCE ACID Procedures.pdf.

The consistency scripts and outputs are located in the directory SupportingFiles\Clause7\Consistency.

Isolation Requirements

The isolation property of a Transaction is the level to which it is isolated from the actions of other concurrently executing Transactions. Systems that implement Transaction isolation using a locking and/or versioning scheme must demonstrate compliance with the isolation requirements by executing the tests described in Clause 7.4.2.

Isolation tests 1 through 4 were successfully done following the procedure documented in the file SupportingFiles\Clause7\MSTPCE ACID Procedures.pdf.

The isolation scripts and outputs are located in the directory SupportingFiles\Clause7\Isolation.

Durability Requirements

The SUT must provide Durability. In general, state that persists across failures is said to be Durable and an implementation that ensures state persists across failures is said to provide Durability. In the context of the benchmark, Durability is more tightly defined as the SUT's ability to ensure all Committed data persist across a Single Point of Failure.

Durability Test for Data Accessibility

The Test Sponsor must report in the Report the Redundancy Level (see Clause 7.6.7.1) and describe the Data Accessibility test(s) used to demonstrate compliance.

A Data Accessibility Graph for each run demonstrating a Redundancy Level must be reported in the Report (see Clause 7.6.7.2).

This benchmark result used Redundancy Level 1. The test for Redundancy Level 1 is the test for permanent irrecoverable failure of any single Durable Medium.


To prove Redundancy Level 1, the following steps were successfully performed:

1. Restored the database to its freshly-loaded, proven-consistent state.
2. Determined the current number of completed trades in the database, *count1*.
3. Started a run, using the profile from the measured run, with checkpoints, and met the Durability Throughput Requirements for at least 5 minutes.
4. Induced the first failure, which in this case was failing a drive in a database data array by physically removing it from its enclosure. Since the database data arrays are RAID protected, transaction processing continued.
5. Waited until the Durability Throughput Requirements were met again for at least 5 minutes.
6. Induced the second failure, which in this case was failing a drive in the database log array by physically removing it from its enclosure. Since the database log array is RAID protected, transaction processing continued.
7. After a few minutes passed, a new drive was inserted into the log enclosure to replace the failed log drive. The log array rebuilding process was started.

8. After a few minutes passed, a new drive was inserted into the data enclosure to replace the failed data drive. The data array rebuilding process was started.
9. Continued running the benchmark for at least 20 minutes.
10. Terminated the run gracefully.
11. Retrieved the new number of completed trades in the database by running `select count(*) as count2 from SETTLEMENT`.
12. Verified that $(count2 - count1)$, which is the number of actual completed Trade-Result Transactions done during the run, equaled the number of successful Trade-Result transactions reported by the Driver.
13. Allowed the recovery process to complete.

Figure 7-1 is a graph of the measured throughput versus elapsed time for the data accessibility run. The timings of the induced failures as well as the recovery process are indicated.

Figure 7-1. Data Accessibility Graph



The files related to this data accessibility test are located in SupportingFiles\Clause7\Durability\DataAccessibility.

Durability Test for Business Recovery

The Test Sponsor must describe in the Report the test(s) used to demonstrate Business Recovery

The Business Recovery Time must be reported on the Executive Summary Statement and in the Report. If the failures described in Clauses 7.6.2.2, 7.6.2.3 and 7.6.2.4 were not combined into one Durability test (usually powering off the Database Server during the run), then the Business Recovery Time for the failure described for instantaneous interruption is the Business Recovery Time that must be reported in the Executive Summary Statement. All the Business Recovery Times for each test requiring Business Recovery must be reported in the Report.

The Business Recovery Time Graph (see Clause 7.6.7.4) must be reported in the Report for all Business Recovery tests.

The tests for “Loss of processing,” “Loss of Vulnerable Storage Component,” and “Loss of all external power to the SUT” were combined.


The following steps were successfully performed to test Business Recovery:

1. Restored the database to its freshly-loaded, proven-consistent state.
2. Determined the current number of completed trades in the database, *count1*.
3. Started a run, using the profile from the measured run, with checkpoints, and met the Durability Throughput Requirements for at least 20 minutes.
4. Pulled the power cords from the database server.
5. Stopped submitting Transactions.
6. Plugged in and restarted the database server.
7. Started SQL Server on the database server. It automatically began recovery of the tpce database. The timestamp in the SQL Server ERRORLOG of the first message related to database tpce is considered the start of Database Recovery.
8. Waited for SQL Server to finish recovering the database. The timestamp in the SQL Server ERRORLOG of the message indicating that the recovery of database tpce is complete is considered the end of Database Recovery.
9. Since there was a time gap between the end of Database Recovery and the start of Application Recovery, and the Drivers and Transactions needed to be started again (not just continued), the Trade-Cleanup Transaction was executed during this time gap.
10. Started a run, using the profile from the measured run, with checkpoints. The time when the first transaction is submitted to the database is considered the start of Application Recovery.
11. Let the run proceed until a 20 minute window existed such that the first minute of the window and the entire window both scored at least 95% of the Reported Throughput. The time of the beginning of that 20-minute window is considered the end of Application Recovery.
12. Terminated the run gracefully.
13. Verified that no errors were reported during steps 8 through 12.
14. Retrieved the new number of completed trades in the database by running *select count(*) as count2 from SETTLEMENT*.
15. Verified that $(count2 - count1)$, which is the number of actual completed Trade-Result Transactions done during the two runs, was greater than or equal to the combined number of successful Trade-Result Transactions reported by the Driver for both runs. In the case of an inequality, verified that the difference was less than or equal to the maximum number of transactions that could be simultaneously in-flight from the Driver to the SUT.
16. Verified database consistency.

Figure 7-2 is a graph of the measured throughput versus elapsed time for Business Recovery.

The Database Recovery Time was 00:02:11. The Application Recovery Time was 00:07:31. The Business Recovery Time, which is the sum of the Database Recovery Time and the Application Recovery Time, was 00:09:42.

Figure 7-2. Business Recovery Time Graph



The files related to this business recovery test are located in SupportingFiles\Clause7\Durability\BusinessRecovery.

Clause 8 – Pricing

60-Day Space

Details of the 60-Day Space computations (see Clause 6.6.6.6) along with proof that the database is configured to sustain a Business Day of growth (see Clause 6.6.6.1) must be reported in the Report.

The 60-day space calculations shown in Table 8-1 are included in SupportingFiles\Clause8\ tpc_e_space.xls.

Table 8-1. Disk Space Requirements

TPC-E Disk Space Requirements										
Customers	950,000	Performance		1863.23	1863.23		1863.23			
				TpsE	Reported	1863.23	TpsE			
Table	Initial Rows	Data Size (KB)	Index Size (KB)	Extra 5% (KB)	Total + 5% (KB)	Rows After	After Run (KB)	Growth (KB)	Bus. Day Growth (KB)	Req. Add. (KB)
BROKER	9,500	696	776	74	1,546	9,500	1,472	-	-	74
CASH_TRANSACTION	15,102,701,145	1,558,535,016	3,285,240	78,091,013	1,639,911,269	15,125,262,803	1,566,118,000	4,297,744	9,404,201	9,404,201
CHARGE	15	8	8	1	17	15	16	-	-	1
COMMISSION_RATE	240	16	16	2	34	240	32	-	-	2
SETTLEMENT	16,416,000,000	782,778,112	1,653,680	39,221,590	823,653,382	16,440,523,226	786,836,608	2,404,816	5,262,150	5,262,150
TRADE	16,416,000,000	1,958,484,480	1,088,076,352	152,328,042	3,198,888,874	16,440,855,780	3,057,706,032	11,145,200	24,387,609	24,387,609
TRADE_HISTORY	39,398,313,202	1,184,911,792	3,089,256	59,400,052	1,247,401,100	39,457,742,280	1,192,317,256	4,316,208	9,444,604	9,444,604
TRADE_REQUEST	-	-	-	-	-	-	110,884	279,816	279,816	612,286
TRADE_TYPE	5	8	1,032	52	1,092	5	1,040	-	-	52
ACCOUNT_PERMISSION	6,744,962	371,416	2,296	18,686	392,398	6,744,962	373,888	176	386	18,686
CUSTOMER	950,000	155,688	46,664	10,118	212,470	950,000	202,368	16	36	10,118
CUSTOMER_ACCOUNT	4,750,000	430,424	106,024	26,822	563,270	4,750,000	536,448	-	-	26,822
CUSTOMER_TAXRATE	1,900,000	39,576	560	2,007	42,143	1,900,000	40,288	152	333	2,007
HOLDING	840,449,832	56,017,664	38,235,384	4,712,652	98,965,700	841,079,490	95,721,472	1,468,424	3,213,164	3,213,164
HOLDING_HISTORY	22,000,250,855	800,009,248	534,437,400	66,722,532	1,401,168,980	22,033,314,573	1,339,506,072	5,059,424	11,070,888	11,070,888
HOLDING_SUMMARY	47,248,396	2,069,352	7,896	103,362	2,170,610	47,248,486	2,067,248	-	-	-
WATCH_ITEM	95,001,161	2,648,200	9,952	132,908	2,791,060	95,001,161	2,658,440	288	631	132,908
WATCH_LIST	950,000	23,640	21,880	2,276	47,796	950,000	45,520	-	-	2,276
COMPANY	475,000	100,944	30,592	6,577	138,113	475,000	131,544	8	18	6,577
COMPANY_COMPETITOR	1,425,000	38,264	25,168	3,672	77,104	1,425,000	73,432	-	-	3,672
DAILY_MARKET	849,228,750	39,655,352	115,264	1,988,531	41,759,147	849,228,750	39,772,136	1,520	3,327	1,988,531
EXCHANGE	4	8	8	1	17	4	16	-	-	1
FINANCIAL	9,500,000	1,070,544	3,328	53,694	1,127,566	9,500,000	1,074,232	360	788	53,694
INDUSTRY	102	8	24	2	34	102	32	-	-	2
LAST_TRADE	650,750	40,416	560	2,049	43,025	650,750	40,976	-	-	2,049
NEWS_ITEM	950,000	102,997,328	1,504	5,149,942	108,148,774	950,000	102,998,880	48	106	5,149,942
NEWS_XREF	950,000	23,656	560	1,211	25,427	950,000	24,216	-	-	1,211
SECTOR	12	8	24	2	34	12	32	-	-	2
SECURITY	650,750	89,600	25,352	5,748	120,700	650,750	115,000	48	106	5,748
STATUS_TYPE	5	8	8	1	17	5	16	-	-	1
ADDRESS	1,425,004	82,192	576	4,138	86,906	1,425,004	82,840	72	158	4,138
TAXRATE	320	24	16	2	42	320	56	16	36	16
ZIP_CODE	14,741	488	40	26	554	14,741	528	-	-	26
TOTALS (KB)		6,490,564,176	1,669,187,440	407,987,581	8,567,739,197		8,188,725,952	28,974,336	63,400,827	70,803,473
Initial Database Size (MB)		7,968,507	7,782 GB							
Database Filegroups	LUN Count	Partition Size (MB)	MB Allocated	MB Loaded	MB Required					
growing_fg	4	2,493,800	9,975,200	7,823,809	7,885,719					OK
fixed_fg	4	52,300	209,200	144,698	151,933					OK
Settlements	24,523,226									
Data Space Required (MB)	Data Space Configured (MB)	Log Space Required (MB)	Log Space Configured (MB)							
Initial Growing Space	7,823,809	Initial Log Size	13,425							
Final Growing Space	7,852,102	Final Log Size	178,738							
Delta	28,293	Log Growth	165,313							
Data Space per Trade	0.001153707	Disk Capacity	189,781							
1 Day Data Growth	61,909	RAID Overhead	95%	0%	0%	0%	0.006741069	RAID Overhead	50%	
60 Day Space	11,683,052	Total Space				15,182,479	1 Day Log Space	375,158	Log Space	1,140,593
						OK				OK

Availability Date

The committed Availability Date of Components used in the price calculations must be reported with a precision of one day. All hardware, software and support used in the calculations must be Orderable by Any Customer on the Availability Date. For each of the Components that are not Orderable on the report date of the FDR, the following information must be included in the FDR:

- Name and Part Number of the item that is not Orderable
- The date when the Component can be ordered (on or before the Availability Date)
- The method to be used to order the Component (at or below the quoted price) when the order date arrives
- The method for verifying the price

The total solution as priced will be generally available May 31, 2012. The dates for ordering and availability are detailed in Table 8-2 for those components that are not immediately orderable.

Table 8-2. Ordering and Pricing Information

Description	Part Number	Order Date	Availability Date	Order Method	Price Verification
Microsoft SQL Server 2012 Enterprise Edition		4-2-12	4-2-12	See note 1	See note 2

Note 1: See the attached Microsoft price quote.

Note 2: See the attached Microsoft price quote.

Supporting Files Index

An index for all files required by Clause 9.4 Supporting Files must be provided.

An index of the files contained in the supporting files is here: SupportingFiles\SupportingFilesIndex.pdf

Auditor's Attestation Letter

The Auditor's Attestation Letter, which indicates compliance, must be included in the Report.

The auditor's Attestation Letter is on the next two pages.

Joe Herman, Manager
 System x Server Performance
 IBM Systems and Technology Group
 3039 Cornwallis Road
 RTP, NC 27709

February 28, 2012

I verified the TPC Benchmark™ E performance of the following configuration:

Platform: IBM System x3650 M4
 Operating System: Microsoft Windows Server 2008 R2 Enterprise Edition SP1
 Database Manager: Microsoft SQL Server 2012 Enterprise Edition

The results were:

CPU's Speed	Memory	Disks	Trade-Result 90% Response Time	tpsE
Tier B, Server: IBM System x3650 M4				
2 x Intel Xeon E5-2690 (2.90GHz)	512 GB (2 x 20 MB L3)	84 x 200GB SSD SAS 8 x 300 GB 10K SAS	0.05 Seconds	1863.23
Tier A, One Client: IBM System x3650 M3				
2 x Intel Xeon X5650 (2.66 GHz)	8 GB (2 x 1.5 MB L2) (2 x 12 MB L3)	2 x 250 GB 7.2K SATA	n/a	n/a

In my opinion, these performance results were produced in compliance with the TPC requirements for the benchmark.

The following verification items were given special attention:

- All EGen components were verified to be v1.12.0.
- The transactions were correctly implemented.
- The database was properly scaled and populated for 950,000 customers.
- The mandatory network between the driver and the SUT was configured.
- The ACID properties were met.

- Input data was generated according to the specified percentages.
- The reported response times were correctly measured.
- All 90% response times were under the specified maximums.
- The measurement interval was representative of steady state conditions.
- The reported measurement interval was 120 minutes.
- The implementation used Redundancy Level 1.
- The Business Recovery Time of 00:09:42 was correctly measured.
- The 60 day storage requirement was correctly computed.
- The system pricing was verified for major components and maintenance.

Additional Audit Notes:

None.

Respectfully Yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Doug Johnson". The signature is written in black ink and has a long, sweeping horizontal line extending to the right.

Doug Johnson, Auditor

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "François Raab". The signature is written in black ink and has a long, sweeping horizontal line extending to the right.

François Raab, President

Appendix A – Price Quotes

Microsoft Corporation
One Microsoft Way
Redmond, WA 98052-6399

Tel 425 882 8080
Fax 425 936 7329
<http://www.microsoft.com/>

Microsoft

February 22, 2012

IBM
Ray Engler
3039 Cornwallis Road
Raleigh, NC 27709

Here is the information you requested regarding pricing for several Microsoft products to be used in conjunction with your TPC-E benchmark testing.

All pricing shown is in US Dollars (\$).

Part Number	Description	Unit Price	Quantity	Price
*	SQL Server 2012 Enterprise Edition <i>2 Core License</i>	\$13,472.50	8	\$107,780.00
P72-04217	Windows Server 2008 R2 Enterprise Edition <i>Server License with 25 CALs</i> <i>Open Program - Level C</i> <i>Unit Price reflects a 42% discount from the estimated retail unit price of \$3,999.</i>	\$2,311.00	1	\$2,311.00
P73-04980	Windows Server 2008 R2 Standard Edition <i>Server License with 10 CALs</i> <i>Open Program - Level C</i> <i>Unit Price reflects a 31% discount from the estimated retail unit price of \$1,029.</i>	\$711.00	1	\$711.00
N/A	Microsoft Problem Resolution Services <i>Professional Support</i> <i>(1 Incident).</i>	\$259.00	1	\$259.00

Windows Server 2008 R2 Enterprise Edition and Windows Server 2008 R2 Standard Edition are currently orderable and available through Microsoft's normal distribution channels. A list of Microsoft's resellers can be found in the Microsoft Product Information Center at <http://pinpoint.microsoft.com/en-US/home>.

SQL Server 2012 Enterprise Edition will be orderable by April 2, 2012. Actual reseller pricing may vary from the estimated retail price above.

The part number for SQL Server 2012 Enterprise Edition will be set by April 2, 2012.

Defect support is included in the purchase price. Additional support is available from Microsoft PSS on an incident by incident basis at \$259.00 call.

This quote is valid for the next 90 days.

Reference ID: TPCE_qhtplyIGYLKTVUKfhjiOjhiJilhJmjf85757.DOC.

Skip to: [Content](#) | [Footer](#)

Shopping Cart

Print

Qty.	Product Description	Savings	Total Price
1	 ASUS VH197D Black 18.5" LED Backlight Widescreen LCD Monitor Item #: N82E16824236105 Return Policy: Monitor Standard Return Policy	-\$25.00 Instant	\$119.99 \$94.99
1	 Rosewill RCW-717 3ft. Network Cable Cat 6 (Crossover) Yellow Item #: N82E16812119153 Return Policy: Standard Return Policy		\$2.99
Subtotal:			\$97.98
Calculate Shipping Zip Code: 27513 <input type="text"/> UPS Guaranteed 3 Day -- \$10.92 		Shipping:	\$10.92
Redeem Newegg Gift Cards / Google Offer Code Card Number: <input type="text"/> Security Code: <input type="text"/>			
Apply Promo Code(s):		Promo Code:	\$0.00
Grand Total:			\$108.90

Print

[Policy & Agreement](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) © 2000-2012 Newegg Inc. All rights reserved.